

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

BR

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/548,946 04/13/00 ESTACIO

M 18865-004500

020350 MMC1/0829
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

PAREKH, N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2811

DATE MAILED:

08/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/548,946	Applicant(s) Estacio et al
Examiner Nitin Parekh	Art Unit 2811



– The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 9, 2001

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* 1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applica

4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn from considera

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirem

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on Apr 13, 2000 is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b)disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 20) Other: _____

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Temple et al (US Pat. 5103290).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Temple et al disclose a chip device/package comprising:

- an integrated lead frame (96/98/94 in Fig. 12; Col. 8, line 39- Col. 9, line 45) having source (96 in Fig. 12) and gate (98 in Fig. 12) terminals/connections
- a bumped die (Fig. 2; Col. 4, line 5- Col. 7, line 5) including solder bumps (30/44 in Fig. 3-9; Col. 5, line 38- Col. 7, line 3; Col. 8, line 1-38) on top side, the die being attached/soldered to the base of the integrated lead frame such that the solder bumps contact respective source and gate terminals/connections
- a copper plate/frame (84 in Fig. 11 and 12; Col. 8, line 39- Col. 9, line 45) having a top surface soldered to the backside metallization of the die (Col. 8, line 57) and a bottom attached/bonded

Art Unit: 2811

to the lead rail (82 in Fig. 11/12; Col. 8, line 44) using conventional processes such as soldering, brazing , etc. such that the copper plate contacts the drain region (metallization 14 at the backside of the die in Fig. 10-13)

(Fig. 1-13; Col. 4-14).

Temple et al disclose using a copper plate/frame connecting the backside of the die with the lead rail but fails to specify/designate the copper plate/frame as a copper clip. The conventional components used in the chip interconnection/packaging art including conductive plate/strap, clip, frame/ring, etc. can be designated/specified according to the shape and function (reduced electrical resistance, stress relief, etc.) of the interconnecting components. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill the art at the time invention was made to incorporate a copper clip attached/connected to the backside of the die to achieve the desired electrical resistance and bonding yield in Temple et al's device.

Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2811 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit via Technology Center 2800 fax center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989).

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas, can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Nitin Parekh

08-27-01


TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER